







### Volumetric Equation

The equation for volumetric estimation is:

$$MCO_2 = RV * \phi * Sg * \delta_{(CO_2)}$$

- $MCO_2$  = mass of CO<sub>2</sub> stored in kilograms
- $RV$  = total reservoir rock volume in m<sup>3</sup>
- $\phi$  = total effective pore space (as a fraction)
- $Sg$  = the gas saturation within the above pore space as a fraction of the total pore space (10 %)
- $\delta_{(CO_2)}$  = the density of CO<sub>2</sub> at the given reservoir depth (pressure and temperature) in kg/m<sup>3</sup>.

### Area & Reservoir

4. Calculate Areas & Reservoir Parameters:

- Area calculated for each depth range over mapped storage area
- Average net pay zone thickness obtained from gas fields over reservoir area
- Average porosity obtained from QPED database
- Drainage cells defined but not used in calculations (beyond regional scope of Atlas)
- Alternatively, can use isopach maps and regional porosity trends if known (e.g. Fromanga Basin)

### Storage Capacity estimates

**Matched capacity:**  
Detailed matching of sources and sinks including supply and reservoir performance assessment

**Practical (Viable) capacity:**  
Applies economic and regulatory barriers to realistic capacity,

**Effective (Realistic) capacity:**  
Applies technical cut off limits, technically viable estimate, more pragmatic, actual site / basin data

**Theoretical capacity:**  
Includes large volumes of "uneconomic" opportunities. Approaches physical limit of pore rock volume ; unrealistic and impractical estimate

Increasing constraints of technical, legal, regulatory and commercial certainty

### Trapping Mechanisms

- There are different mechanisms which immobilise (trap) CO<sub>2</sub> in the subsurface, and the timescales over which they operate (Bachu et al. 2007).
- The lower three mechanisms (dissolution, mineralisation and adsorption) are, mostly, very long-term and are not considered here further.
- The volumetric estimations calculated in this atlas are based around free-phase trapping

Time (years)

Time dependency of processes involved in CO<sub>2</sub> geological storage (modified after Bachu et al. 2007). Top four green processes are relevant to the atlas.

### MAS – Migration Assisted Storage

- The migration assisted storage (MAS) process is the main process that can theoretically store enormous quantities of CO<sub>2</sub> in the absence of any subsurface closure.
- The dominant primary trapping mechanism in MAS is discontinuous free-phase trapping as residual gas saturation (RGS) in the trail of a migration plume.
- Using the porosity cut-offs a residual gas saturation (Sgr) of 0.2-0.6 is likely but this is difficult to calculate without core. Therefore a likely conservative value of Sgr = 0.1 has been used for all volumetric calculations.
- Ultimately the CO<sub>2</sub> trapped by these mechanisms is dissolved into the surrounding formation water

Schematic of trail of residual CO<sub>2</sub> that is left behind because of snap-off as the plume migrates upwards during post-injection period (modified from Juanes et al. 2006)

### Invaded Volume efficiency factor

- Simple volumetric estimation calculations overestimates capacity: calculating the volume of CO<sub>2</sub> that could be stored over the entire reservoir unit.
- As the migrating plume will not access a large proportion of the reservoir this value is unrealistic (assuming homogenous reservoir, injection over entire interval, & entire formation water displaced uniformly)
- Therefore to limit extreme values developed a very basic Invaded Volume efficiency factor - 15m plume estimate used

As the reservoir thickness increases, a smaller proportion of the total reservoir volume can be theoretically considered as potentially available for storage.

### Showgrounds Sandstone example

Basin	Southern Bowen	Banked Reservoir Unit	Showgrounds Sandstone	Storage Mechanism	Residual Gas Saturation
Estimated theoretical carbon dioxide storage resource of the Southern Bowen Basin - Showgrounds Sandstone reservoir is 191 Megatonnes					
Regional Storage Volume Estimation - Data Quality	Regional GADSD interpretation - considered likely to be accurate to 200 m				
Structural Surface Constraints	None	Included Faults checked - generally trending east-west - intersected randomly by wells			
Reservoir Thickness Constraints	Fair	Measured porosities from GPRD database			
Reservoir Porosity Constraints	Good	Average value of 10% of total pore volume used across entire porosity range			
Reservoir Log Constraints	Fair	Average value of 10% of total pore volume used across entire porosity range			
Regional Carbon Dioxide Density Estimation - Data Quality	Comment				
Temperature Profile Constraints	Probable Temperature Profile	Data from CSIRO - selectively edited and final regional temperature profile estimated by GSSS			
Pressure Profile Constraints	Probable Pressure Profile	Data from CSIRO - selectively edited and final regional pressure profile estimated by GSSS			
Theoretical Storage Resource	Comment				
Storage Volume Estimation Method	Statistical	Net pay zone thicknesses from limited Field log analysis. Storage efficiency factor is 5.			
Subjective Estimate Accuracy	Average				
Estimated Potential Storage:	191 Megatonnes (theoretical storage resource)				10% Residual Gas Saturation storage for final assessment using unit specific storage capacity and conservative permeability factor for all reservoirs
Statistical Summary Data	Nett Thickness (m)	Porosity %			
Data Point Count:	21	3834			
Average:	5.12	12.90			
Median:	4.60	12.90			
Standard Deviation:	3.01	4.90			
Kurtosis:	0.44	0.20			
Skewness:	0.81	-0.20			

5. Calculate Theoretical CO<sub>2</sub> Storage Capacity

- Sum of storage volume in each depth range (accounts for changes in CO<sub>2</sub> density with depth)
- Residual Gas saturation= 10%
- RGS efficiency factor determined based on reservoir thickness (high for thin reservoirs, low for thick reservoirs)
- Residual gas saturation storage mechanism volume calculated as 1% of total calculated storage volume; Note: 5m thick (100%) and less if used total area
- 191 Mt of theoretical capacity in Showgrounds Sandstone storage area (additional 172 Mt in Tinown and Rewan)

### CGSS method vs Storage Efficiency

BASIN	Km <sup>2</sup>	CGSS Capacity (Mt CO <sub>2</sub> )	SE Capacity Approach (4% of pore volume) (Mt CO <sub>2</sub> )	CGSS capacity as % of pore volume
Gallilee	147,000	3,430		
Bowen	180,000	339		
Surat	327,000	2,300		

Note: The thicker the reservoir, the larger the discrepancy

### Conclusions

- Queensland CO<sub>2</sub> Geological Storage Atlas assessed 36 basins at regional level
  - High graded basins
- Used the prospectivity in determining capacity
  - Seal and reservoir distribution, heterogeneity and quality
  - Trapping options and viability
  - CO<sub>2</sub> density at each location – not generic value
  - Estimated “Invaded volume of reservoir” for RGS
- Did not use SE methodology (“couldn’t ?”)
  - Relied on practical geological knowledge (looked at rocks - prospectivity) & conservative / sensible estimates
  - Must map “fairways” for sensible capacity estimates**

### Must Map Fairways